

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/686,729	09/686,729 10/10/2000		John D. Nguyen	3311.020US0	7128	
33931	7590	03/12/2003				
		IARRY J. MACE	EXAMINER			
BELMONT		OAD, SUITE 121 02-4106		HOEY, A	LISSA L	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3765		
				DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· <u> </u>				M					
<i>/</i> ·		Applicati n No.	plicant(s)	4.7					
		09/686,729	NGUYEN ET AL.						
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit						
		Alissa L. Hoey	3765						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 .</u>	lanuary 2003							
2a)		is action is non-final.							
•	Since this application is in condition for allows		rosecution as to the	e merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
4)	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application) .							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
-	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
	cation Papers	•							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
10)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14)[·		application)					
 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 									
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
	ment(s)								
2)	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PT						

>

Application/Control Number: 09/686,729

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-4 and 9-18, drawn to annuloplasty methods, classified in class
 128, subclass 898.
 - Claims 5-7, drawn to a clip delivery device, classified in class 606, subclass 139.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions group I and group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the clip delivery device of group II is not needed in the annuloplasty methods of group I.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: group I from above contains three distinct species.

 Claims 1-4 drawn to a method using clips to reduce a diameter of an annulus. Claims 9-14 drawn to a method of repairing a mitral valve and claims 15-18 drawn to a method of replacing a mitral valve.

Application/Control Number: 09/686,729

Art Unit: 3765

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/686,729

Art Unit: 3765

Page 4

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alissa L. Hoey whose telephone number is (703) 308-

6094. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30)Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on (703) 305-1025. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-0758

for regular communications and (703) 308-0758 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0861.

alh

March 11, 2003

JOHN CALVERT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700